

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,947 11/24/2003		Brian L. McMurray	1373-004	5270
4678	7590 11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
MACCORD MASON PLLC 300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600			PIZIALI, ANDREW T	
P. O. BOX 29	•	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENSBORO, NC 27402			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,947	MCMURRAY, BRIAN L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew T. Piziali	1771		

	Andrew T. Piziali	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liance with 27 OFD 44 27 word be	Elad					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS .							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* * * *						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		li be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		•					
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9,12-15,17,21,22 and 34-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3,10,11,16,18-20</u> ,	22 22 and 44 42						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>23-33 and 41-43</u> .						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	·						
	•		•				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/720,947

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

For example, the proposed amendment such that the second side is formed by a stitch evaded portion of a second yarn, and an elastomeric or stretch yarn is incoporated into the front stitches, wherein the elastomeric or stretch yarn forces the stitch evaded yarn to the second side, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

ANDREW PIZIALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER